Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

×	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental				
LRB	Number	11-2239/1		Introd	duction Nu	mber 🗜	AB- 0190				
Description Carrying a concealed weapon if prohibited from possessing a firearm, purchasing a firearm for a person who is prohibited from possessing a firearm, and providing a penalty											
Fiscal	Effect										
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing iions Existing	Reve	ase Existing nues ease Existing nues	to		s - May be possible in agency's budget ☑No ts				
	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive X Mandato	3. ☐Incre ory ☐Perm 4. ☐Decre	ase Revenue issive □ Mar ease Revenud issive □ Mar	Gov ndatory		nits Affected Village Cities Others WTCS Districts				
	Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations										
⊠ G	PR FED	PRO [PRS	SEG 🔲 SE	EGS 20.550(1))(d)					
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву		Authorized S	Signature		Date				
SPD/ Megan Christiansen (608) 267-0311 Ada				Adam Plotkin	am Plotkin (608) 264-8572						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives SPD 6/27/2011

LRB Number	11-2239/1	Introduction Number	AB-0190	Estimate Type	Original					
Description Carrying a concealed weapon if prohibited from possessing a firearm, purchasing a firearm for a person										
who is prohibited from possessing a firearm, and providing a penalty										

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) is statutorily authorized and required to appoint attorneys to represent indigent defendants in criminal and certain commitment proceedings. The SPD plays a major role in ensuring that the Wisconsin justice system complies with the right to counsel provided by both the state and federal constitutions. Any legislation has the potential to increase SPD costs if it creates a new criminal offense, expands the definition of an existing criminal offense, or increases the penalties for an existing offense.

This bill would create three new felony crimes: for being armed with a concealed weapon while ineligible to possess a firearm, for buying a firearm for a person knowing that person is prohibited from possessing a firearm the person knows was purchased for her/him while prohibited from possessing one. The bill also provides a mandatory minimum prison sentence of three years for the first of the new felonies described above.

The SPD has no data to predict the number of additional felony cases that would result from the changes proposed in this bill. The SPD's average cost to provide representation with a private bar attorney in a felony case is \$591.40, calculated on the basis of the SPD's average cost per case in fiscal year 2010. The mandatory minimum prison sentence could also increase the complexity – and cost – of those felony cases.

Because probation or prison could be ordered upon conviction for the proposed felony crimes, this change would indirectly lead to additional cases in which the Department of Corrections (DOC) would seek to revoke probation or extended supervision. The SPD provides representation in proceedings commenced by the Department of Corrections (DOC) to revoke supervision. Thus, the bill would indirectly increase the number of cases in which the SPD appoints attorneys in revocation proceedings. The average cost during fiscal year 2010 for SPD representation by a private bar attorney in a revocation proceeding was \$366.09.

Because of the annual caseloads for staff attorney positions specified for budgeting purposes under § 977.08(5), Stats., it would be more cost effective to add staff attorney positions if a significant number of SPD cases resulted from this provision of the bill.

Counties are also subject to increased costs when a new crime is created. There are some defendants who, despite exceeding the SPD's statutory financial guidelines, are constitutionally eligible for appointment of counsel because it would be a substantial hardship for them to retain an attorney. The court is required to appoint counsel at county expense for these defendants. Thus, the counties would experience increased costs attributable to the higher classification of criminal charges resulting from this bill. The counties could also incur additional costs associated with incarceration of defendants, both pending trial and after sentencing.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications